RSU Resident Scientific-Practical Conference and
Competition within RSU Research Week 2027
Requirements and Assessment Criteria[1]

1. **To promote the scientific research activity of Rīga Stradiņš University (hereinafter – RSU) medical residents, the development of clinical thinking, and the application of evidence-based medicine principles,** the RSU Department of Residency is organi**sing** an integrated resident scientific-practical conference and competition. The competition is open to works that address topical issues in various medical fields, including primary health care, with particular attention to new medical technologies and their economic justification.
2. The competition and conference are held in two stages. For the first stage, medical residents submit their competition works electronically by submitting them for participation in the RSU Research Week 2027 by 15 January 2027. The second stage – works that have been approved for presentation in sections at the RSU Research Week 2027 and have received the highest scores are evaluated. If an insufficient number of medical residents (fewer than three) have received the highest score, works that have received the second-highest score will be submitted for the competition.
   3. Only those works in which a medical resident is indicated as the first author and presenter may participate in the competition at the conference. Doctoral students, students, as well as other authors may participate only as co-authors of the work of a medical resident(s).
4. Only works whose authors and presenters are medical residents in the principal specialty, who are active students, and who are studying on a state scholarship, or on the funds of a legal entity, and who do not have outstanding academic obligations, may participate in the competition.
5. Papers submitted for both poster and oral presentations are eligible for the competition.
6. The competition for the award is also open to medical residents who are simultaneously enrolled in residency and doctoral study programmes and are not participating in the RSU Research Week 2027 Doctoral Student Competition in the relevant year.
7. In preparing the work for the conference and competition, the authors use both modern scientific literature and data obtained by themselves (if any). The work is important for the creative thought of the authors, the use of modern medical technologies and their justification, illustrative material (pictures, schemes), the possibilities of using the results of the research in medical practice or teaching.
8. Criteria for evaluation: (1) relevance of the study; (2) appropriateness of the methodology used to achieve the objectives of the study; (3) applicability of the results of the study in medical practice or teaching. Each of the criteria is evaluated as follows: 2 points – corresponds, 1 point – partially corresponds, 0 – does not correspond.

[1]The Regulations of the RSU Resident Scientific-Practical Conference and Competition 2027 will be published in full after their approval.

II ROUND EVALUATION COMMITTEE EVALUATOR SHEET

Name of the member of the evaluation committee: _____

Job No.	Summary (unedited)	1. Relevance and novelty of the study	2. Appropriateness of the methodology used to achieve the objectives of the study	3. The applicability of the results of the study in medical practice or teaching	TOTAL POINTS
		▪ 2 points – the study is highly relevant, it addresses current problems that have been little-studied in society or the industry so far, or it addresses them in a previously unexplored way. ▪ 1 point – the study has medium relevance. The problems it addresses are known in society or the industry, but are not the most topical, or have already been sufficiently researched in the aspect covered by the study. ▪ 0 points – the study is not relevant; it addresses issues that are not relevant to the public or the sector or that have already been studied.	▪ 2 points – The methodology used is fully appropriate to achieve the objectives of the study. ▪ 1 point – the methodology used is appropriate for achieving the objectives of the study, but some or several shortcomings and opportunities for improving the methodology for better achievement of the objectives set have been identified. ▪ 0 points – the methodology used is not appropriate to achieve the objectives of the study.	▪ 2 points – the results of the study are relevant and have a significant potential for further use and application in medical practice or teaching. ▪ 1 point – the results of the study can be partially used in medical practice or teaching. ▪ 0 points – the results of the study are not applicable in medical practice or teaching.	